28

1	Jahan C. Sagafi (Cal. Bar No. 224887)	FILED
	Kaelyn Mahar (Cal. Bar. No. 338257) OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP	Superior Court of California County of Sacramento
2	One California Street, 12th Floor	04/25/2024
3	San Francisco, CA 94111	T. Shaddix, Deputy
4	Telephone: (415) 638-8800	
	Facsimile: (415) 638-8810 E-Mail: jsagafi@outtengolden.com	
5	E-Mail: kmahar@outtengolden.com	
6	I .: M.C *	
7	Justin M. Swartz* OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP	Camar R. Jones*
		SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A.
8	New York, NY 10017	951 Yamato Rd. Suite 285
9	Telephone: (212) 245-1000	Boca Raton, FL 33431
9		Telephone: (561) 447-8888
10		Facsimile: (561) 447-8831
11	* Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>	E-Mail: cjones@shavitzlaw.com
12	Counsel for Plaintiffs, the Proposed Class, and th	
13	Aggrieved Employees	e e
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	COUNTY OF SA UNLIMITED CIVII	
15	UNLIMITED CIVII	LJURISDICTION
16	JOSE GONZALEZ, DAVID LU, REQUIBA	Case No. 23CV001422
17	HERNDON-ALLEN, DAVID DANIEL, AND	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING
9	MARTIN BERMUDEZ, on behalf of themselves and all those similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
18	themserves and an those similarly situated,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
19	Plaintiffs,	ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT
20	v.	Judge: Hon. Jill Talley
§ 21	BANK OF THE WEST,	DEPT.: 23 Date Action Filed: May 9, 2023
5 41 3	·	Date Action Flied. Way 9, 2023
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
5 5 7 2 5		
24 25 26		
3 26		

Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement came before the Court. The Court has considered the Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement"), the submissions of Plaintiffs' counsel, and all other papers filed in this action. The matter having been submitted and good cause appearing therefor, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement executed by the Parties and filed with this Court;
- 2. The Parties, through their counsel of record in the litigation, have reached an agreement to settle all claims in the litigation;
- 3. The Court conditionally finds that, for the purposes of approving this Settlement only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable; (b) for purposes of effectuating this settlement, there are questions of law or fact common to the Class Members, and there is a well-defined community of interest among the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representatives have and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) for purposes of effectuating this Settlement, a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representatives is qualified to serve as Class Counsel for the Class Representatives in their own capacity as well as their representative capacity and for the Class Members;
- 4. Plaintiffs also have presented to the Court for review the Settlement. The Settlement is within the range of reasonableness and meets the requirements for preliminary approval; and
- 5. Plaintiffs have also presented to the Court for review a plan to provide notice to the Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and the options facing the Class

1	Members including, inter alia, to opt out of the class action; to be represented by counsel of their	
2	choosing; to object to the Settlement; and/or to remain in the Settlement Class. The Notice	
3	Packet will be mailed to all Class Members at their Last Known Addresses with provisions for	
4	address verification. The notice plan proposed by the Settling Parties is the best practical under	
5	the circumstances.	
6	Good cause appearing therefor,	
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
8	1. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(d), the Settlement is	
9	preliminarily approved and the Class is provisionally certified;	
10	2. Notice of the proposed Settlement and the rights of Class Members,	
11	including the right to opt out of the Settlement, shall be given by mailing of the Notice Packet to	
12	Class Members by first class, postage prepaid, to all Class Members pursuant to the applicable	
13	provisions in the Settlement. BOTW shall provide the Claims Administrator with the	
14	information necessary to conduct this mailing as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;	
15	3. A hearing shall be held before this Court on August 16, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.	
16	to consider whether the settlement should be given final approval by the Court:	
17	(a) Written objections by Class Members to the proposed Settlement will be	
18	considered if received by the Claims Administrator postmarked on or before the Notice	
19	Response Deadline;	
20	(b) At the final approval hearing, Class Members may be heard orally in	
21	support of or in opposition to the Settlement;	
22	(c) Class Counsel and counsel for BOTW should be prepared at the hearing to	
23	respond to objections timely and properly submitted by Class Members and to provide other	
24	information, as appropriate, bearing on whether or not the Settlement should be approved; and	
25		
26		
27		

- 1	
1	(d) At the final approval hearing, the Court shall consider any motions or
2	applications for attorney fees, costs and litigation expenses consistent with the Settlement
3	Agreement.
4	4. In the event that the Effective Date occurs, all Settlement Class Members
5	will be deemed to have forever released and discharged the Released Claims. In the event that
6	the Effective Date does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement Agreement shall be
7	deemed null and void and shall have no effect whatsoever.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: DATED: DATED:
10	Judge of the Superior Court
11	Rajá/æj/^
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
23 24	
25	
26	
20 27	
- '	1